

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,432	05/09/2001	Jorg Dietrich	LINDE-566	2115
23599	7590 06/19/2003			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			DUONG, THO V	
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	15
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
9	09/851,432	DIETRICH, JORG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Tho v Duong	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover si	heet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply of - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however unication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimulatory period will apply and will expire SIX will, by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed on of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>02 April 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL .	(b)⊠ This action is non-final	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction Disposition of Claims	for allowance except for form ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	nal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 8,12,13 and 15-18 is/are pe	ending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,12,13 and 15-18</u> is/are rej	☑ Claim(s) <u>8,12,13 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requireme	nt.				
Application Papers	·					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held ir	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) approved t	o) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in reply to this Office action					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been receive	d.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	been received in this National Stage 2(a)). es not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pages	O-948) 5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ter:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 15				

Application/Control Number: 09/851,432

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8,12,13 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davidian et al. (US 6,347,662) in view of Takahashi Eiji (JP 04081288) and Dynamit Nobel. Davidian discloses (figure 1 and column 1, lines 19-21) a plate heat exchanger block comprising a housing; a plurality of aluminum sheets (8) forming a plurality of heat-exchange passages; at least one steel header (1) in communication with at least some of the heat exchange passages wherein steel header and aluminum sheet can not be welded to one another. Davidian does not disclose that a connecting piece consisting of steel on one side and aluminum on the other side so that steel header is welded to the steel side of the connecting piece. Takahashi teaches (figure 7) about using a connecting piece (3) consisting of steel on one side (4) and aluminum on the other side (5) so that steel material (1) is welded to the steel side (4) and aluminum material (2) is welded to the aluminum side (5) to develop sufficient joint strength between aluminum and steel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Takahashi's teaching in Davidian's heat exchanger develop sufficient joint strength between aluminum and steel. Takahashi does not disclose that the aluminum and the steel side are bonded together by explosive bonding. Dynamit Nobel teaches to bond steel and aluminum

Application/Control Number: 09/851,432

Art Unit: 3743

materials together by explosive bonding to produce a firm bond in order to enhance the joint strength between aluminum and steel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Dynamit Nobel's teaching in the combination device of Davidian and Takahashi to produce a firm bond in order to enhance the joint strength between aluminum and steel.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Showa Alum Corp (JP 404263720A) in view of Takahashi Eiji (JP 04081288) and Dynamit Nobel. Showa Alum discloses a heat exchanger header for attachment to a heat exchanger having aluminum components, the heat exchanger header (22,23) consisting essentially of steel brazing to an aluminum parts (5) of the heat exchanger. Showa does not disclose a connecting piece having aluminum on one side and steel on one side being explosive bonded together. Takahashi teaches (figure 7) about using a connecting piece (3) consisting of steel on one side (4) and aluminum on the other side (5) so that steel material (1) is welded to the steel side (4) and aluminum material (2) is welded to the aluminum side (5) to develop sufficient joint strength between aluminum and steel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Takahashi's teaching in Davidian's heat exchanger develop sufficient joint strength between aluminum and steel. Takahashi does not disclose that the aluminum and the steel side are bonded together by explosive bonding. Dynamit Nobel teaches to bond steel and aluminum materials together by explosive bonding to produce a firm bond in order to enhance the joint strength between aluminum and steel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Dynamit Nobel's teaching in

Application/Control Number: 09/851,432

Art Unit: 3743

Page 4

the combination device of Davidian and Takahashi to produce a firm bond in order to enhance

the joint strength between aluminum and steel.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Banker discloses an aluminum/steel transition joint.

Izuma et al. (US 4,010,965) discloses a pipe joint for connecting different kind of

metallic pipes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Tho Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-0768. The examiner can

normally be reached on from 9:30-6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Bennet, can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7764.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Tho Duong

June 14, 2003

Henry Bennett

Supervisory atent Examiner

CHOURS 100